



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,635	02/28/2002	. Wing-Cheong Tang	5181-89200	5376
759	90 04/22/2004		EXAM	INER
Jeffrey C Hood	d		CHEN, I	PO WEI
Meyertons Hood	Meyertons Hood Kivlin Kowert & Goetzel PC			PAPER NUMBER
P O Box 398 Austin, TX 78	767-0398	2676	8	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/085,635	TANG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Po-Wei (Dennis) Chen	2676			
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS f - If the period for reply sp - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within th Any reply received by th	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 rom the mailing date of this communication. ecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply specified above, the maximum statutory period v e set or extended period for reply will, by statute e Office later than three months after the mailing stment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on <i>Febr</i>	uary 23, 2004.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims	3					
4a) Of the ab 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application. ove claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed. is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(Applicant may Replacement	tion is objected to by the Examine s) filed on is/are: a) acc not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correct eclaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119					
a) All b) 3 1. Certific 2. Certific 3. Copies applic	nent is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority document ed copies of the priority document s of the certified copies of the priority document et al. (a) None of the priority document at the certified copies of the priority document et al. (b) None of the priority document et al. (c) None of the priority et al. (c	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	OV. 14070 000	"—···	(07.0 440)			
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

In response to an Amendment received on February 23, 2004. This action is final.

Claims 1-24 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 8, 16-17, 23 and 24 are independent claims.

The present title of the invention is "End point value correction when traversing an edge using a quantized slope value".

The Group Art Unit of the Examiner case is now 2676. Please use the proper Art Unit number to help us serve you better.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-12, 14-19 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker et al. (US 6,219,070; refer to as Baker herein) and further in view of Thayer (US 5,278,949).
- 3. Regarding claim 1, Baker discloses a method for adjusting pixel parameters by subpixel positioning comprising:

A method for rendering a polygon (lines 7-14 of column 1);

Receiving geometry data defining vertices of the polygon (lines 30-32 of column 10 and lines 35-39 of column 11 and Fig. 8), computing initial vertex x,y values at end points proximate to each of the vertices of the polygon (lines 47-56 of column 11);

Application/Control Number: 10/085,635

Art Unit: 2676

Computing a slope along edge of the polygon (lines 61-63 of column 13 and lines 63-67 of column 14);

Page 3

Interpolating x,y values along respective edge of the polygon, wherein said interpolating uses the computed slope along the respective edge of the polygon (lines 56-61 of column 13, lines 44-55 of column 16 and lines 49-63 of column 17);

Final x,y values for respective edge of the polygon, wherein, for respective edge, said final x,y values comprises the interpolated x,y values for non-end points of the respective edge, and said final x,y values comprises the computed initial vertex x,y values for each of the end points of the respective edge (lines 47-56 of column 11, lines 54-56 of column 18, lines 59-67 of column 19 and lines 1-4 of column 20; it is noted that the computed initial vertex is being tested to determine if the coordinate resides inside or along one of the triangle edges. If yes, then the computed initial vertex would be used as one of the coordinates instead of being further interpolated).

Baker does not disclose that each edge of the polygon is being processed and storing coordinate values. Thayer discloses a polygon renderer that utilizes the method (lines 67-68 of column 5, lines 1-41 of column 6, lines 63-66 of column 15 and lines 1-6 of column 16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Thayer to provide a very precise point sampling algorithm to determine which pixels of polygon will be rendered and reduced artifacts (lines 29-42 of column 5, Thayer). Also, both Baker and Thayer are directed to method of utilizing slopes to interpolate points along the edge of polygons.

Regarding claim 2, Baker discloses a method for adjusting pixel parameters by subpixel 4. positioning comprising:

Art Unit: 2676

The computed initial vertex x,y values for each of the end points of the respective edge comprises replacing interpolated x,y values at the end points with the computed initial vertex x,y values (lines 47-56 of column 11, lines 59-67 of column 19 and lines 1-4 of column 20; it is noted that the computed initial vertex is being tested to determine if the coordinate resides inside or along one of the triangle edges. If yes, then the computed initial vertex would be used as one of the coordinates instead of interpolated vertex coordinates thus functioning as to replacing interpolated coordinate with the initial vertex).

Baker does not disclose storing coordinate values. However, this is known in the art taught by Thayer as statements presented above, with respect to claim 1 are incorporated herein.

5. Regarding claim 3, Baker discloses a method for adjusting pixel parameters by subpixel positioning comprising:

The computed initial vertex x,y values for each of the end points of the respective edge operates to prevent inclusion of an extraneous pixel and/or exclusion of a pixel within the Polygon (lines 46-56 of column 8, lines 43-63 of column 10, lines 19-36 of column 12 and lines 59-67 of column 19; it is noted that the initial vertex values of the end points of the respective edge are being computed to include pixels that lie within or at the boundary and to exclude pixels that are not).

6. Regarding claim 4, Baker discloses a method for adjusting pixel parameters by subpixel positioning comprising:

The computed slope is a quantized slope value (lines 61-66 of column 13; while claim recites quantized slope value, the term is broad enough to include the computed slope value disclosed by Baker).

Art Unit: 2676

7. Regarding claim 6, Baker discloses a method for adjusting pixel parameters by subpixel positioning comprising:

The final x,y values comprise pixels for the polygon (lines 54-56 of column 18).

8. Regarding claim 7, Baker discloses a method for adjusting pixel parameters by subpixel positioning comprising:

The polygon is a triangle (Fig. 9).

- 9. Regarding claims 8 and 9, statements presented above, with respect to claim 1 are incorporated herein. Furthermore, the vertex 504 and 502 correspond to first and second vertex (lines 47-56 of column 11 and Fig. 9, Baker).
- 10. Regarding claims 10-12 and 14-15, statements presented above, with respect to claims 2-4 and 6-7 are incorporated herein. Furthermore, edge 510 formed by vertex 504 and 502 correspond to first edge (lines 47-56 of column 11 and Fig. 9, Baker).
- Regarding claim 16, statements presented above, with respect to claim 1 are incorporated herein. Furthermore, edge 510 formed by vertex 504 and 502 correspond to first edge (lines 47-56 of column 11 and Fig. 9, Baker).
- 12. Regarding claims 17, statements presented above, with respect to claims 1 are incorporated herein. Also, Baker disclose a vertex processor to receive and assemble vertex data (lines 28-36 of column 10 elements 128 and 134 of Fig. 7), a render system coupled to the vertex processor (elements 136 and 138 of Fig. 7; while claim recites a render system, the term is broad enough to include the edge and span walker which function to render the polygons) and a memory (element 124 of Fig. 7). Furthermore, edge 510 formed by vertex 504 and 502 disclosed by Baker correspond to first edge (lines 47-56 of column 11 and Fig. 9).

Art Unit: 2676

13. Regarding claims 18-19 and 21, statements presented above, with respect to claims 2-3 and 7 are incorporated herein. Furthermore, edge 510 formed by vertex 504 and 502 correspond to first edge (lines 47-56 of column 11 and Fig. 9, Baker).

14. Regarding claim 22, Baker discloses a method for adjusting pixel parameters by subpixel positioning comprising:

The render system comprises at least one edge walker for interpolating the x,y values along the first edge of the polygon (lines 56-61 of column 13 and lines 13-17 of column 18 and element 136 of Fig. 7).

- 15. Regarding claim 23, statements presented above, with respect to claim 1 are incorporated herein.
- 16. Regarding claim 24, statements presented above, with respect to claim 1 are incorporated herein. Furthermore, Baker discloses a method for adjusting pixel parameters by subpixel positioning comprising:

A method for triangle rendering (line 62 of column 3 to line 11 of column 4 and Fig. 5-6);

Interpolating x,y positions along the edge starting from the initial edge intercept and progressing towards a second vertex of the edges (line 12 of column 16 to line 61 of column 18 and lines 38-62 of column 21 and Fig. 12-13);

Data including (a) one or more of the interpolated x,y positions and (b) an x,y position of the second vertex as defined by the geometry data instead of one of the interpolated x,y positions which is proximate to the second vertex (lines 47-56 of column 11, lines 54-56 of column 18, lines 59-67 of column 19 and lines 1-4 of column 20; it is noted that the computed initial vertex

is being tested to determine if the coordinate resides inside or along one of the triangle edges. If yes, then the computed initial vertex would be used as one of the coordinates instead of being further interpolated. If not, then the interpolated position is used);

Page 7

Baker does not disclose storing x,y position data and perform rendering computations on the triangle using the stored data. Theyer discloses a polygon renderer that utilizes the method (line 67 of column 5 to line 43 of column 7 and line 63 of column 15 to line 6 of column 16 and Fig. 2; it is noted that the display is filled or rendered with pixels which are on the inside of the polygon and the determination of pixels inside are processed using coordinates data stored). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Thayer to provide a very precise point sampling algorithm to determine which pixels of polygon will be rendered and reduced artifacts (lines 29-42 of column 5, Thayer). Also, both Baker and Thayer are directed to method of utilizing slopes to interpolate points along the edge of polygons.

- 17. Claims 5, 13 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker et al. (US 6,219,070; refer to as Baker herein) and Thayer (US 5,278,949) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Dye (US 5,684,941).
- 18. Regarding claim 5, Baker does not discloses the slope value is represented by a quantized value having a first number of bits of precision, wherein the first number of bits of precision produce inaccuracies for interpolated x,y values computed at the end points of at least one edge of the polygon. Dye discloses an interpolation rendering of polygons into a pixel grid utilize the method (lines 50-53 of column 11, lines 20-40 of column 13 and lines 6-29 of column 14; it is noted that the slope calculated has fractional part corresponds to first number of bits of precision and the fractional part produces error when interpolation is processed). It would have been

Art Unit: 2676

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to utilize the teaching of Dye to provide a faster interpolation of polygons by simplifying the processing logic (lines 47-51 of column 6). Also, both Baker and Dye are directed to polygon interpolation utilizing slope and vertex values.

19. Regarding claims 13 and 20, statements presented above, with respect to claim 5 are incorporated herein. Furthermore, edge 510 formed by vertex 504 and 502 correspond to first edge (lines 47-56 of column 11 and Fig. 9, Baker).

Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments filed February 28, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues references do not teach or suggest "storing final x,y values comprises storing the computed initial vertex x,y values for each of the end points of the respective edge". However, this is known in the art taught by Baker in view of Thayer. Baker discloses a method for adjusting pixel parameters by subpixel positioning by utilizing interpolation process and determine if the coordinate reside inside or along of the triangle edges. If yes, then the computed initial vertex coordinate would be used. If not, then the interpolated position is used (lines 47-56 of column 11, lines 54-56 of column 18, lines 59-67 of column 19 and lines 1-4 of column 20). Baker does not disclose the coordinates are stored. Thayer discloses a polygon renderer that utilizes the method (line 67 of column 5 to line 43 of column 7 and line 63 of column 15 to line 6 of column 16 and Fig. 2; it is noted that the display is filled or rendered with pixels which are on the inside of the polygon and the determination of pixels inside are processed using coordinates data stored). It would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2676

ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Thayer to provide a very precise point sampling algorithm to determine which pixels of polygon will be rendered and reduced artifacts (lines 29-42 of column 5, Thayer). Also, both Baker and Thayer are directed to method of utilizing slopes to interpolate points along the edge of polygons.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

21. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2676

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Po-Wei (Dennis) Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-8365. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew C Bella can be reached on (703) 308-6829. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Po-Wei (Dennis) Chen Examiner Art Unit 2676

Po-Wei (Dennis) Chen April 20, 2004

Marthew C. Bella
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 10